

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/677,525   | 10/02/2003  | James Lordi          | 5904-05-1               | 9338             |  |
| 7590 12/15/2004  |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| Timothy A. Johnson<br>McCormick, Paulding & Huber, LLP |             |                      | KILIMAN, LESZEK B       |                  |  |
| City Place II,18                                       | th Floor    |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| 185 Asylum Str<br>Hartford, CT                         |             |                      | 1773                    |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary   |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |             |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|
|   |  | 10/677,525  | LORDI, JAMES   |             |  |  |  |
|   |  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |             |  |  |  |
| ·   |  | leszek b kiliman  | 1773   |             |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication a<br>or Reply   | ppears on the cover sheet with the  | ne correspondence addre  | SS          |  |  |  |
| I HE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the prov | 1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be sply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to the cause the application to become APA to | to e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this community. | unication.  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| 1)[]  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
|   |  | is action is non-final.   |  |             |  |  |  |
| 3)  |  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims   | •   |  |             |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠<br>5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□  | Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  | awn from consideration.   |  |             |  |  |  |
|   | on Papers  | or oroston roquirement.   |  |             |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
|   | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  | <i>J</i> 2. |  |  |  |
| 12)□ <i>A</i><br>a)[  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen   |   | (a)-(d) or (f).  |             |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| ;   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
|   | application from the International Burea   | u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |             |  |  |  |
| * 50  | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list  | of the certified copies not receive   | ved.   |             |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |             |  |  |  |
| ttachment(  | •  | •   |  |             |  |  |  |
| )   Notice  | of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summa  | ry (PTO-413)   |             |  |  |  |
| ) 🔲 Inform<br>Paper   | of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>No(s)/Mail Date  | Paper No(s)/Mail   5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:  | Date<br>Patent Application (PTO-152)   |             |  |  |  |
| Patent and Tra  |  |   |  |             |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6673465. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Lordi'465 patent discloses the claimed invention except for added printed image in the instant claims. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add the printed image to the Lordi'465 laminate, since it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. In re Karlson, 136

Art Unit: 1773

USPQ 184. Also, applicants admit on pages 1-3 of the specification that the paper/plastic laminate is used for printing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to leszek b kiliman whose telephone number is 571-272-1509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, 6.30-5.00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lk